# VALUE ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Conceptual Proposal	<b>Date</b> 2/24/11				
Contract ID 090821-602	Job No. <u>J6U1028P &amp; J6U1028Q</u>				
County St. Charles 364	Original Bid Cost \$43,991,879.40				
Contractor Fred Weber, Inc.	By Brandon Bates				
Designed By MODOT	Phone (636) 978-9835				
VECP# 11-27 (to be completed by C.O.)	VECP ☐ or PDVECP ⊠				
<ol> <li>Description of existing requirements and proposed change(s). Advantages/Disadvantages         Existing: Construct stormwater drainage on J6U1028P according to drainage plans which includes         three new 30 inch pipe crossings at the new NOR.</li> <li>Proposed: Fred Weber, Inc. proposed to leave in place three existing 30" RCP pipe runs that were to         be replaced along the North Outer Road between Sta 40+00 to Sta 65+00. This will eliminate traffic         control issues during installation, reduce costs and avoid utility conflicts. The existing pipe were         inspected and determined to be in good condition.</li> </ol>					
2. Estimate of reduction in construction costs. \$33	8,135				
<ol> <li>Prediction of any effects the proposed change(s) wil maintenance and operations.         None     </li> <li>Anticipated date for submittal of detailed change(s) Specifications.</li> </ol>					
(dat	te)				
5. Deadline for issuing a change order to obtain maximum cost reduction, noting the effect of contract completion time or delivery schedule.					
(date)	(effect)				
5. Dates of any previous or concurrent submission of the same proposal.					
N/A					
(date and/o					

#### **Additional Comments:**

Distribution:

The existing 30" RCP were visually inspected and found to be in good condition. The flowlines and alignments of the existing pipes aned are very similar to the proposed 30 inch RCP so hydraulically there are no problems with this concept. Finally, there are several utility lines in the way (Embridge Pipe Line, ATT, St. Peters Water and MO American Water) that would make the proposed 30" RCP installation to be difficult at best.

#### \*\* Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoDOT \*\*

Comments: MoDOT field per	sonnel have inspected the existing 30" RCP and a	gree with the contractor
1	on. The flowlines and locations of the existing	
	in fact the existing pipe runs parallel and with	
proposed pipe. Discussed	this proposal with consultant designer and they	are not opposed to the
	in place eliminates traffic issues because traf	fic needs to be maintained
on the existing roadway if	the proposed pipe was installed. I recommend a	pproval based on loute 384 Project Office, email=michael.castro@model.ma.gov
satisfactory results	Submitted By Resident Engineer	Date
being obtained in the fiel	d.	Date
Comments		
Comments: The existing RCP is	in good condition and in the genera	11
_	he plan RCP is to be positioned and	
	osed. I am in agreement as long as t	
	out come as per plan.	
can get ene desired	Digitally signed by Ma	itthew Budd
Approval		d, o=MoDOT, ou=D6 Construction Matthew.Budd@modot.mo.gov, c=U5
Recommended _	Date: 2011.05.20 15:4	7:36 -05'00'
Rejection	District Engineer	Date
Recommended	<b>.</b>	
Comments: Not required.		
Approval		
Recommended		
Rejection	Federal Highway Administration	Date
Recommended	Required for FHWA Full Oversight Projects	
==	ontingent on satisfactory results achieved :	<b>.</b>
<u> </u>	by the contractor that they are responsible	for the consequences
including unanticipated	impaces of delays.	
	Digitally signed by Ronald Morr	is
		DT, ou=3H35, email=Ronald.Morris@modot.mo.gov,
	State Construction and Materials Engineer	Date
Rejection	Date Compitation and infantials ruighteet	17410

## Changes to the NOR Drainage

## Deleted Items

PIPE

Description	From	То	Length (LF)
18" Class III RCP	N116	MS51	84.7
√30" Class III RCP	MS50	MS51	5 <b>7.</b> 7
√30" Class III RCP	MN20	MN21	71.7
√30" Class III RCP	MN10	MN11	55.7

#### STRUCTURES

Description	Quantity (EA)	Pay Depth (FT)	Class 3 EXC.
MN10 (60" Manhole)	1	14.0	34.0
/MN11 (60" Manhole)	1	22.0	51.0
MN20 (60" Manhole) Decreased	1	16.0	32.0
MN21 (60" Manhole)	1	16.0	37.0
MS51 (60" Manhole) Decreased	1	10.0	22.0
	5.0	78.0	176.0

<sup>\*</sup>Decreased depth of the 60" manhole (MS51) from the original 22' to 12' \*Decreased depth of the 60" manhole (MN20) from the original 20' to 4'

#### Frames and Grates

Description	Quantity (EA)
Manhole Frame and Cover Type 4 (MN10)	1
Manhole Frame and Cover Type 4 (MN11)	<sup>*</sup> 1
Manhole Frame and Cover Type 4 (MN21)	. 1
	3.0

Project Number	Project Line Item Number Number	Description	Units	Units Previously Provided For	Units To Be Constructed	Units Overrun, Underrun	Unit Price	Amount Of Overrun	Amount Of Underrun
	70	Class 3 Excavation	CUYD	2372.00	2196.00	-176.00	1		-\$3,520.00
	009	Manhole Frame and Cover, Type 4	EA	27.00	24.00	-3.00	•		-\$1,035.00
J6U1028P	1020	1020   18" Class III RCP	LF	3540.00	3481.30		\$50.00		-\$2,935.00
	1060	1060 30" Class III RCP	LF	1776.00	1591.00	-185.00	\$75.00		-\$13,875.00
	1150	1150 [Precast Concrete Manhole - 60"	LF	290.00	212.00	-78.00	0.7		-\$16,770.00
								\$0.00	-\$38,135.00

Total VE Savings To Be Shared Total Savings - MoDot - 75% Total Savings - Fred Weber, Inc 25%
---

\$38,135.00 \$28,601.25 \$9,533.75

# VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

# TYPE OF WORK

(Check one that applies)

- o Bridge/Structure/Footings
- ✓ Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's, ect.)
- o TCP/MOT
- o Paving (PCCP, ect.)
- o Grading/MSE Walls
- o Signal/Lighting/ITS
- o Misc

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL (If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)
This PDVECP will allow the contractor to utilize three 30" RCP in place. The current pipe was found to be in good condition and at an acceptable elevation.

SCANNING OF DOCUMENT
If the proposal is large, please mark or make note, which pages need to be scanned into the database. If there are special instructions, make note of them here.